

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Fatent and Trademark Office Address. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandras, Virgunia 22313-1450 www.aughu.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
09/423,534	12/14/1999	MATTHIAS LAU	1-14746	6863
7590 12/08/2003			EXAMINER	
MARSHALL & MELHORN			LUU, THANH X	
PHILLIP S OB FOUR SEAGA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
8TH FLOOR	-		2878	
TOLEDO, OH 43604			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/423,534	LAU, MATTHIAS					
Office Action Guillinary	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Thanh X Luu	2878					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the meiting date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the namenum statutory period will apply and will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the namenum statutory period will apply and will sayte ISIX (6) MONTHS from thing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office lafer than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 A	ugust 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 23-44 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-33,38 and 41-44</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 34-37,39 and 40 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 14 December 1999 is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)							
20 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 50 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 30 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 60 Other:							

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 7, 2003 has been entered.

Claims 23-44 are currently pending.

Drawings

 The drawings are objected to because "Figure" is misspelled in most of the drawings. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 28, it is unclear in its given context what "wherein at least one second of the second optical conductors is arranged in an inner ring" means. . Application/Control Number: 09/423,534

Art Unit: 2878

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 23-28, 31-33 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saaski et al. (U.S. Patent 5.606.170).

Regarding claims 23, 26-28, 31-33 and 43, Saaski et al. disclose (see Figures 1-16) a device for measuring fluorescence excited by light and configured to detect fluorescence quenching fluid materials (see column 31, lines 35-45), which has at least one layer (not shown; see column 6, lines 5-12) applied to a support (cladding of fiber) or a transparent body, the layer contains a fluorescing material, the device having at least one light source (12 or 28) which emits exciting light of at least one wavelength that excites the fluorescence in the layer, the exciting light is directed through the support onto the layer by at least one first optical conductor (40), the fluorescent light being directed by at least one second optical conductor (46) onto at least one detector (26) for determining the intensity of the fluorescence, wherein the end faces of the first and second optical conductors are arranged relative to one another as a function of their numerical apertures (see column 17, lines 53-65) and as a function of the at least one layer (the end faces are located at a certain position with respect to the at least one layer) and the second optical conductors are arranged as a bundle in a the shape of a ring (see Figures 9-11) with at least one first optical conductor arranged in the interior of

Application/Control Number: 09/423,534

Art Unit: 2878

the ring, the first and second optical conductors are used for exciting light or for fluorescent light. Saaski et al. further disclose (see Figure 2) a launching optical system (32, 36, 38) is arranged between the light source (28) and the at least one first optical conductor. In addition, Saaski et al disclose (see column 31, lines 50-66) the surface of the support (cladding of 144a) is surrounded by a medium of lower refractive index (152a) and is mounted in an exchangeable fashion (see Figure 4A), wherein the exciting light is totally reflected in a region of the layer and total reflection occurs. The support is elongated in a plane. Saaski et al. also teach (see Figure 1) separate housings for holding the optical conductors, the light source and the detector. Saaski et al. do not specifically disclose the light source, the detector and the optical conductors held in a measuring head. However, it has been held that making a device integral requires only routine skill in the art. In re Larson 144 USPQ 347, 349. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to dispose the elements of Saaski et al. in a measuring head in order to make it integral and provide a compact and portable device.

Regarding claims 24 and 25, Saaski et al. disclose the invention as set forth above. Saaski et al. do not specifically disclose the structure of the measuring head. However, the specific structure of a measuring head or housing is a matter of design choice. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the measuring head or housing of the device of Saaski et al. flexible or partially bent in order to more easily maneuver the device upon use or to make the device fit more easily into certain spaces.

Application/Control Number: 09/423,534
 Art Unit: 2878

 Claim 29, as understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saaski et al. in view of Pederson et al. (U.S. Patent 5,319,975).

Regarding claim 29, Saaski et al. disclose the invention as set forth above.

Saaski et al. do not specifically disclose the optical conductors are inclined at different angles with the end faces pointing toward the fluorescing layer. Pederson et al. teach (see Figure 1) inclining optical conductors wherein the end faces point towards a fluorescing layer (8). Thus, Pederson et al. recognize an alternative arrangement for such a device. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange the apparatus of Saaski et al. in view of Pederson et al. as claimed to provide more direct excitation and improve detection.

 Claim 41, as understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saaski et al. in view of Hesse (DD 106 086).

Regarding claim 41, Saaski et al. disclose the invention as set forth above. Saaski et al. do not specifically disclose a second detector for detecting a reference signal. Hesse teaches (see Figures) monitoring a reference signal though a second detector. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a second detector to detect a reference signal the apparatus of Saaski et al. in view of Hesse to aid in monitoring the correct operation of the device and improve detection.

8. Claims 30, 38, 42 and 44, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saaski et al. as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Wagner (U.S. Patent 5,001,054) and Bessman et al. (U.S. Patent 4,431,004).

. Application/Control Number: 09/423,534

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding claims 30, 38 and 44, Saaski et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above. Saaski et al. do not disclose a heater or a temperature sensor as claimed. Wagner teaches (see Figure 2) using a device having conductors and a fluorescing layer for monitoring glucose. Bessman et al. further teach (see column 2, lines 37-45) that glucose sensors are temperature dependent and (see Figure 4) disposing a temperature sensor proximate a glucose sensor. Thus, Wagner recognizes that the device of Saaski et al. detects glucose and Bessman et al. recognize the sensitivity of glucose sensors to temperature. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a heater and/or a temperature sensor on the support of Saaski et al. in view of Wagner and Bessman et al. to obtain more accurate detection.

Regarding claim 42, Saaski et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above. Saaski et al. do not disclose insulating the light source and detector. However, as stated above, Bessman et al. teach that monitoring glucose is dependent on temperature. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to insulate the light source and detector in the apparatus of Saaski et al. in view of Wagner and Bessman et al. to reduce the affect of the heat from the light source and detector from affecting the detection, and thereby improve detection.

Allowable Subject Matter

 Claims 34-37, 39 and 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the . Application/Control Number: 09/423,534

Art Unit: 2878

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-33, 38 and 41-44 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 23-33, 38 and 41-44, Applicant asserts that the end faces of the optical conductors are not arranged as a function of the at least one layer.

Examiner disagrees. Since the end faces are arranged at a particular distance from each other and from the at least one layer, the conductors are arranged as a "function" of the at least one layer. Thus, as set forth above, this rejection is proper.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh X. Luu whose telephone number is (703) 305-0539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta, can be reached on (703) 308-4852. The fax phone number for the organization where the application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

txl

November 26, 2003

Thanh X. Luu Patent Examiner